

RURAL DEVELOPMENT

Rural Housing Service Rural Business – Cooperative Service Rural Utility Service

Dedicated to Strengthening and Serving Rural America

Colorado State Office 655 Parfet Street, Room E100 Lakewood, Colorado 80215 (720) 544-2912 (Voice line) (720) 544-2972 (FAX line) (720) 544-2976 (TTY line)

SUBJECT: ARCHITECTURAL TECHNICAL GUIDE 0009 (December 19, 2002)

List of Exhibits Required for Submittal to the Rural Development
State Architect for New and Existing Construction Projects
Funded by the Community Facilities Program

PURPOSE:

The purpose of this technical guide is to itemize construction related exhibits required by Rural Development to be provided by the applicant in concert with the project architect to the Rural Development State Architect for review and comment for projects funded by the Rural Development Community Facilities Program.

IMPLEMENTATION RESPONSIBILITIES:

Rural Development considers complete architectural basic services to normally include three distinct pre-bidding phases:

- 1. The preparation of schematic design exhibits;
- 2. The preparation of design development exhibits; and
- 3. The preparation of construction document exhibits.

Two complete sets of each of these submittals should be forwarded to the Rural Development State Office at the appropriate time (as discussed further below) for review and comment.

Attached are suggested content lists for each of these submittal phases.

Questions in this regard should be referred to the Rural Development State Architect.

DAVID W. RIGIROZZI State Architect USDA/Rural Development

Attachments: "Schematic Design Submittal Exhibits"

"Design Development Submittal Exhibits"
"Construction Document Submittal Exhibits"



Community Facilities Programs SCHEMATIC DESIGN SUBMITTAL EXHIBITS

I. II. III.	Design Architect's Submittal Applicant's Submittal Applicant & Architect's Submittal
I. DE	ESIGN ARCHITECT'S SUBMITTAL:
phase Two c	plete architectural design basic services are considered by RD to normally consist of three distinct es: schematic design exhibits, design development exhibits, and construction document exhibits. complete sets of Preapplication exhibits consisting of architectural schematic design exhibits, as ssed below, should be forwarded to the RD State Office, for review and comment.)
1.	Cover sheet, including: Project name. Complete project address. Project vicinity map. Complete project architect's name, address, & telephone number. Complete applicant's name, address, & telephone number. Set issuance date by the project architect's office.
2.	Site plan, showing: Scale (minimum 1"=20'). North arrow. Proposed lot lines, with dimensions. Building outlines. All pavements, steps, ramps, parking spaces, & adjacent streets, with dimensions. Recreation areas. Trash containment areas. Available utility locations. Existing & proposed drainage concepts. Significant topography delineated. Disabled accessible routes and designated parking. Proposed landscaping.
3.	Floor plans, showing:Scale (minimum 1/8"=1'-0"). Typical building designs, with appropriate labeling.

4.	Exterior Building Elevations, showing: Scale (minimum 1/8"=1'-0"). Typical building sides for all building types. Proposed materials labeling. Roof pitches.
5.	Typical Wall Section, showing: Scale (minimum 1/8"=1'-0"). Foundation-to-ridge view of structure. Proposed materials labeling. Roof pitches.
6.	Statement of Probable Construction Cost, showing:\$ per square foot.
7.	Preliminary Architectural Feasibility Report, a narrative analysis of the project discussing: Project need Existing facility, if applicable Proposed facility Site area required Site suitability Alternate sites considered Site plan concept Property legal, easement, and right-of-way issues Construction and overall development cost budget considerations Property legal, easement, and right-of-way issues Proposed design and construction development method (i.e. architectural services, bid general contractor services, design-build services, construction administration services, or other) Special project features (i.e. alternative energy technologies) Other special considerations (i.e. forecasted bidding climate, materials shortages, or other)
II. A	PPLICANT'S SUBMITTAL:
1.	Letters of Availability for all Proposed Utility Services.
III. <i>A</i>	APPLICANT & ARCHITECTS' SUBMITTAL:
1.	Total Development Budget, as follows:Itemized breakdown of all "hard" and "soft" costs\$ per square foot.
which	vill concur with proceeding to the next phase of construction design (design development exhibits) a coincides with the RD Application phase when the applicant, project architect, and RD reach a ensus regarding the acceptability of the schematic design proposal and RD issues written comments as effect.)

3



Community Facilities Programs DESIGN DEVELOPMENT SUBMITTAL EXHIBITS

II. Applica			
II. Applici	ant's Submittal		
III. Archite	ect's and Applicant's Su	bmittal	

I. DESIGN ARCHITECT'S SUBMITTAL:

(Complete architectural design basic services are considered by RD to normally consist of three distinct phases: schematic design exhibits, design development exhibits, and construction document exhibits. Two complete sets of Application exhibits, consisting of architectural design development exhibits, as discussed below, should be forwarded to the RD State Office, for review and comment.)

1.	Cover Sheet, including:
	Project name.
	Complete project address.
	Project vicinity map.
	Complete project architect's name, address, and telephone number.
	Complete applicant's name, address, and telephone number.
	Set issuance date by the project architect's office.
	Set issuance date by the project architect's office.
2.	Site Plan, showing:
۷.	Scale (minimum 1"=20').
	North arrow.
	Lot lines, with full dimensioning.
	
	Building outlines, with full dimensioning.
	All pavements, steps, ramps, parking spaces, & adjacent streets, with full dimensioning.
	Recreation areas.
	Trash containment areas.
	Proposed retaining walls.
	Existing & proposed utility mains, with sizes indicated.
	Proposed finish floor elevations.
	Existing & proposed grade contours.
	Disabled accessible routes & designated parking.
	Proposed landscaping, with material types & sizes indicated.
	All proposed off-site work, so designated.
	אוו טוטטטכע טוו-אונכ אטוג, אט עכאוטוואנכע.

3.	Scale (minimum 1/8"=1'-0"). Overall building dimensions. Actual wall thicknesses. Complete typical building designs. Common space labeling, with wheelchair accessible/adaptable turning circles shown. Roof overhangs, dimensioned. Adjacent pavements & other structures. Section cut lines.
4.	Living Unit Floor Plans (if applicable), showing: Scale (minimum 1/4"=1'-0"). Overall unit dimensions. Actual wall thicknesses. Typical unit labeling. Disabled accessible/adaptable unit labeling. Room, etc. labeling.
5.	Exterior Building Elevations, showing:Scale (minimum 1/8"=1'-0")Typical building sides, for all building typesProposed materials labelingRoof pitches.
6.	Interior Elevations, showing:Scale (minimum 1/4"=1'-0")All typical kitchen & bathroom cabinet & fixture locationsFull vertical dimensioningAppliance & fixture labeling.
7.	Wall Sections, showing:Scale (minimum 3/8"=1'-0")Typical wall, roof, floor, & foundation system conditionsUnique conditionsProposed materials labelingFull vertical dimensioningRoof pitches.
8.	Foundation Plans, showing: Scale (minimum 1/8"=1'-0"). Overall building dimensions. Actual wall thicknesses. Complete typical building designs. Section cut lines.
9.	Mechanical Plans, showing: Scale (minimum scale: 1/8"=1'-0") Typical unit layouts Common area layouts.
10.	Plumbing Plans, showing:Scale (minimum scale: 1/8"=1'-0")Typical unit layouts Common area layouts.
11.	Electrical Plans, showing:Scale (minimum scale: 1/8"=1'-0").

	Typical unit layoutsCommon area layouts.
12.	Outline Specifications, showing: All proposed site, architectural, structural, mechanical, & electrical systems & materials. Utilization of a trade-divided format, 16-division C.S.I. format, or other similar format.
13.	Utility Selection Analysis for Heating, addressing: Utility rates for available utilities, if other than natural gas space and domestic water heating are proposed. Life cycle cost justification for the selected utility(ies), if other than natural gas space and domestic water heating are proposed.
II. AF	PPLICANT'S SUBMITTAL:
1.	Soils Investigation Analysis, prepared by a Colorado licensed professional engineer, addressing: Minimum one exploratory boring, per building, to an adequate depth to provide reasonably reliable information about soil-bearing values, pertinent groundwater hazards, approximate depth(s) to bedrock (if applicable), & abnormal soil conditions. Site plan, showing boring locations. Date of site investigation. Narrative summary & recommendations. Boring logs & testing results. Recommended details, as pertinent.
2.	Topographic Land Survey, showing: Scale. North arrow. Legend. Title block. Date of Survey. Property lines. Permanent marker locations. Complete Metes & Bounds legal description (or Lots & Blocks, if applicable). Names of all contiguous property owners. Complete site dimensioning, to locate & size (where appropriate) structures, utilities, major trees, significant site improvements, easements, drainage ways, major buried structures, streets, alleys, etc. All existing site improvements. Right-of way widths. Existing streets & alleys. All existing utilities in near vicinity of the site, including their locations & sizes. Manholes and fire hydrants. Street lights. Utility poles & transformers. Trees. Surveyor's certification, identification, signature, Colorado license number, & date.

III. APPLICANT & ARCHITECTS' SUBMITTAL:

1.	<u>Owner/Architect Agreement,</u> as follows:
	Utilizing form, "Standard Form of Agreement between Owner and Architect", AIA Document
	B141, 1997 Edition, or similar document.
	RD modifications to the Owner/Architect Agreement, similar to those contained in FmHA
	Instruction 1942-A, Guide 27 (available from the RD State Architect or RD State Office).
	Attached detailed estimate for the project architect's additional services and reimbursable expenses, beyond the scope of basic services, as discussed in FmHA Instruction 1942-A, Guide 27.
2.	Revised Total Development Budget, as follows:
	Itemized breakdown of all "hard" and "soft" costs.
	\$ per square foot.

(RD will concur with proceeding to the next phase of construction design (construction documents) when the applicant, project architect, and RD reach a consensus regarding the acceptability of the design development proposal and RD issues written comments to this effect.)



Community Facilities Programs CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENT SUBMITTAL EXHIBITS

 <u>.</u>	Design Architect's Submittal	
II.	Applicant's Submittal	
III.	• •	
_ DI	DECICAL ADOLUTEOTIC CUDMITTAL.	
. DE	DESIGN ARCHITECT'S SUBMITTAL:	

(Complete architectural design basic services are considered by RD to normally consist of three distinct phases: schematic design exhibits, design development exhibits, and construction document exhibits. Following RD acceptance of the design development exhibits, two complete sets of construction document exhibits, as discussed below, should be forwarded to the RD State Office, for review and

comm	ent.)
1.	Construction Drawings, consisting of: Sufficient scale and detail to clearly explain the requirements for the construction of the entire project. A Colorado licensed architect's seal on each sheet not already sealed by a Colorado licensed professional engineer. A common set issuance date on each sheet. A cover sheet, containing: Project name. Project location. Project architect's name, address, telephone number. Applicant's name, address, telephone number. Project vicinity map. Schedule of dwelling & building type(s) & size(s) (if applicable). Signature block, for: Owner's representative. Project architect's representative. Contractor's representative. Contractor's representative. A topographic survey.
2.	Project Manual, consisting of: An indexA cover page, containing:Project nameProject locationProject architect's name, address, telephone numberApplicant's name, address, telephone numberProject vicinity map.

	Schedule of dwelling & building type(s) & size(s)Signature block, for:Owner's representativeProject architect's representativeContractor's representativeUSDA/RD representativeUSDA/RD representativeAll pertinent RD and other boilerplate formsComplete project specifications, in the C.S.I. 16-division format, or equivalent.
3.	<u>Local building department written acceptance of the proposed construction documents</u> , if available.
l. AP	PLICANT'S SUBMITTAL:
1. ARCHI	<u>Floodplain/Local Storm Drainage Studies</u> , IF REQUESTED EARLIER BY THE RD STATE TECT, consisting of:
	Floodplain Analysis, accomplished by a Colorado licensed professional engineer or architect, providing: Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) boundary or rate map, if available, or equivalent exhibit, delineating the boundaries of the proposed site & the floodplain of concern, as determined by RD. A statement whether or not any portion of the proposed site is located within the boundaries of the floodplain of concern, as determined by RD. Local Storm Drainage Analysis, performed by a Colorado licensed professional engineer or architect, providing: A map, preferably a U.S. Geodetic Survey (USGS) contour map, delineating the boundaries, sizes (in acres), & flow directions of all off-site generated sheds which would flood the proposed site during the event of concern (i.e. 100-year storm), as determined by RD. Quantities (Q100 or Q500) of all off-site generated storm flows. Detailed calculations used to derive the Q100 or Q500 values. Narrative conclusions & recommendations regarding the flood hazard A proposed site grading & drainage design capable of accommodating the flooding event of concern. NOTE: All buildings & disabled routes should be protected from any inundation. All other areas of the site should be designed to accommodate the flood hazard event without subjecting human life or personal property to damage. The design should also depict proposed grades, structures, & flow quantities & directions.

(RD will concur with proceeding to the next phase of construction (bidding and negotiation) when the applicant, project architect, and RD reach a consensus regarding the acceptability of the construction document proposal and RD issues written comments to this effect.)
